

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/696,069   | 10/28/2003     | Michael Popovsky     | HT03                | 5359             |  |
| 23386 7:   | 590 06/06/2006 |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| MYERS DAWES ANDRAS & SHERMAN, LLP                        |                |                      | CHIN, RANDALL E     |                  |  |
| 19900 MACARTHUR BLVD.,<br>SUITE 1150<br>IRVINE, CA 92612 |                |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |                |                      | 1744                |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Applicati n No.   | Applicant(s)  |   |  |  |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|
|  | 10/696,069  | POPOVSKY ET AL.   |   |  |  |
| Offic Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  | _ |  |  |
| •  | Randall Chin  | 1744  |   |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this c mmunicati n app Period f r Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY DEDICE FOR DEDICE   |   |   |   |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONES | ely filed the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |   |  |  |
| Status   |   |   |   |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   | _•  |   |   |  |  |
| <i>,</i> —   | action is non-final.  |   |   |  |  |
|  | e this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |   |   |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45  | 3 O.G. 213.   |   |  |  |
| Disp sition of Claims  |   |   |   |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application.  |   |   |   |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  | n from consideration.   |   |   |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |   |   |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |   |   |   |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |   |   |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> are subject to restriction and/or e  | election requirement.   |   |   |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |   |   |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  | •   |   |   |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce  | epted or b) objected to by the E  | Examiner.   |   |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o  | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See   | 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti  | on is required if the drawing(s) is obj   | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa  | aminer. Note the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-152.   |   |  |  |
| Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |   |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)   | -(d) or (f).  |   |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:   |   |   |   |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents  |   |   |   |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents  | • •   |   |   |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior   | •   | d in this National Stage  |   |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau  |   | .1  |   |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of  | or the centitied copies not received  | <b>0.</b>   |   |  |  |
|  |   |   |   |  |  |
|  |   |   |   |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary (  | PTO 412)  |   |  |  |
| 2) Notice of References Cited (P10-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)  | 4) [ ] Interview Summary (<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Da   | •   |   |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosur Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  |   | atent Application (PTO-152)   |   |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 6)  |   |   |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/696,069 Page 2

**Art Unit: 1744** 

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. At the outset, it should be noted that the substitute specification filed on June 30, 2004 has not been entered since the **clean copy** also includes strikethrough, for example, at p. 8, line 2.

2. Also, claims 2-14, line 1, it appears that the recitation "cleansing device" in the preamble should read –cleansing pad—for consistency.

## Election/Restrictions

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-14 and 27, drawn to a cleansing pad, classified in class 15, subclass 104.93.
  - II. Claims 15-26, drawn to a method of manufacturing a cleansing device, classified in class 300, subclass 21.
  - III. Claims 28-56, drawn to an apparatus for manufacturing a cleansing device, classified in class 264, subclass 211.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

4. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process

**Art Unit: 1744** 

(MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as one not requiring providing a cleansing agent that is in essentially solid form at a first temperature range, and in essentially pourable molten form at a second temperature range, and heating the cleansing agent to within the second temperature range such that the cleansing agent is in pourable molten form.

- 5. Inventions I and III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case, for example, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus such as one not including a container for holding a molten cleansing agent, a support for holding a substrate comprising a web of fibers, and a platform that lowers the substrate held by the support into the container such that at east a portion of the substrate is immersed into the molten cleansing agent.
- 6. Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

Application/Control Number: 10/696,069

**Art Unit: 1744** 

806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as one not requiring providing a cleansing agent that is essentially solid form at a first temperature range.

- 7. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of

Application/Control Number: 10/696,069

**Art Unit: 1744** 

record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randall Chin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1270. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on (571) 272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Randall Chin
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1744